

2023 Annual Accountability Report

South Carolina Administrative Law Court

Agency Code: C050

Table of Contents

Agency's Discussion and Analysis	1
Agency Organization Chart	11
Reorganization and Compliance	12
Strategic Plan Results	14
Strategic Plan Development	15
Budget Data	17
Legal Data	18
Services Data	19
Partnerships Data	20
Reports Data	21
Submission Form	22

AGENCY NAME: South Carolina Administrative Law Court
AGENCY CODE: SECTION: 58

AGENCY'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

OVERVIEW

The Administrative Law Court (ALC or Court) is an independent agency and court of record within the Executive Branch. The ALC has six (6) Administrative Law Judges (ALJs), including a Chief Judge. Each ALJ is elected to a specific seat by the South Carolina General Assembly and may employ other staff as authorized by the General Assembly to hear and dispose of matters within its statutorily mandated jurisdiction. The ALC hears many types of cases involving state agencies except those cases arising under the Consolidated Procurement Code, the Public Service Commission, and the Workers' Compensation Commission. (See Age of Disposed Cases below for specific case types filed with the Court). The Office of Motor Vehicle Hearings (OMVH) is a division of the ALC and has four (4) hearing officers plus staff, who are appointed and hired respectively by the Chief Judge, who serves as the Director of the Office. The OMVH conducts contested cases regarding certain decisions issued by the Department of Motor Vehicles.

The ALC is always improving upon how it protects data and Information Technology (IT) assets against increasing cyber threats and vulnerabilities. The ALC depends heavily on network and information systems for essential operations and data security. A culture of awareness regarding security risks is our standard at the ALC and we accomplish that with a multi-layered security and defense approach focusing on sophisticated and blended cyberattacks. The ALC places extremely high importance on the cyber security education of all agency employees, making sure users stay informed. We recognize that the first line of defense in maintaining the security and integrity of our IT assets and networks starts with informed IT personnel and network users.

RISK ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION STRATEGIES

The Chief Judge is statutorily responsible for assigning a specific ALJ to each case filed with the Court. At the OMVH, the cases are automatically assigned to a hearing officer based on specific geographic regions. The Chief Judge is also responsible for the administration of the Court and the OMVH, including budgetary matters and supervision of the support staff. The other ALJs have supervision of their administrative assistant/law clerk. There are currently no plans in development to change the structure or organizational framework and procedures of the Court or to recommend that the General Assembly make any statutory changes. However, we continue to closely monitor our results and performance measures to determine if any future changes will be necessary.

Each ALJ is individually responsible for ensuring the fair and prompt disposition of the cases assigned to his or her office. The greatest risk to the public if the Court fails to timely dispose of cases is the possibility of cases becoming moot before they can be resolved, which could negatively affect the parties involved. Although the litigants often ask for continuances due to discovery and scheduling issues, the Court seeks to balance those requests with timely review to ensure a court system that is fair and prompt for all litigants. The primary support for a court to efficiently and timely dispose of its docket is to have adequate resources to do so. This may be in the form of additional judges, staff, or funding that is not reliant on fees.

FILINGS WITH THE COURT BY JURISDICTIONAL TYPE

The Court has jurisdiction over four types of matters:

Contested cases. ALJs preside as the fact finder in contested cases involving departments of the executive branch of state government in which a single hearing officer, or an administrative law judge, is authorized or permitted

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Administrative Law Court		
AGENCY CODE:	C05	SECTION:	58


by law or regulation to hear and decide these cases, with certain exceptions. The ALC's contested case jurisdiction is outlined in S.C. Code Ann. § 1-23-600(A).

Appeals. ALJs hear all appeals from final decisions of contested cases heard by state agencies pursuant to the Administrative Procedures Act, Article I, Section 22 of the South Carolina Constitution, or another law, except for appeals from final decisions of the Public Service Commission, the State Ethics Commission, the Procurement Review Panel, and the Workers Compensation Commission. The ALC's appellate jurisdiction is defined in S.C. Code Ann. § 1-23-600(D). The Court also hears appeals pursuant to *Al-Shabazz v. State*, 338 S.C. 354, 527 S.E.2d 742 (2000) and *Furtick v. South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services*, 352 S.C. 594, 576 S.E.2d 146 (2003).

Regulation hearings. ALJs preside over public hearings held during the promulgation of regulations by a department of state government for which the governing authority is a single director. Upon the conclusion of a regulation hearing, an ALJ issues a written report including findings as to the need and reasonable ness of the proposed regulation. If the report includes a finding of a lack of need or reasonableness, the report may include suggested modifications to the proposed regulation. For more information concerning regulation hearings, refer to S.C. Code Ann. § 1-23-111.


Requests for injunctive relief. The ALC has jurisdiction to hear requests for injunctive or equitable relief filed by state agencies that are authorized by law to seek such relief. The ALC may review and enforce an administrative process issued by a department of the executive branch of government, such as a subpoena or cease and desist order. In addition, a party aggrieved by an administrative process issued by an executive branch agency may apply to the ALC for relief from the process. Under the South Carolina Administrative Procedures Act, ALJs have the power to issue those remedial writs as are necessary to give effect to its jurisdiction. Further, ALJs have the same power at chambers or in open court as do circuit court judges, including the power of contempt.

The ALC's appellate jurisdiction has increased significantly over the years. When appeals from the Department of Corrections and the Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services, are included in the statistical analysis of case types before the ALC, the number of appeals is much higher than requests for contested case hearings, as shown in the charts below.



AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Administrative Law Court		
AGENCY CODE:	C05	SECTION:	58


	Contested		Injunctive	
	Case	Appeal	Relief	Regulation
<mark>2016-2017</mark>	238	157	38	<mark>38</mark>
<mark>2017-2018</mark>	<mark>265</mark>	<mark>146</mark>	<mark>29</mark>	<mark>43</mark>
<mark>2018-2019</mark>	<mark>209</mark>	<mark>159</mark>	<mark>32</mark>	<mark>45</mark>
<mark>2019-2020</mark>	<mark>227</mark>	<mark>126</mark>	<mark>27</mark>	<mark>33</mark>
<mark>2020-2021</mark>	<mark>238</mark>	<mark>138</mark>	<mark>34</mark>	<mark>21</mark>
<mark>2021-2022</mark>	<mark>231</mark>	<mark>230</mark>	<mark>44</mark>	<mark>33</mark>
<mark>2022-2023</mark>	<mark>185</mark>	<mark>135</mark>	<mark>46</mark>	<mark>31</mark>



	Contested			
	Case	Appeal	Injunctive	Regulation
<mark>2016-2017</mark>	<mark>238</mark>	<mark>948</mark>	<mark>38</mark>	<mark>38</mark>
2017-2018	<mark>265</mark>	<mark>780</mark>	<mark>29</mark>	<mark>43</mark>
<mark>2018-2019</mark>	<mark>209</mark>	<mark>842</mark>	<mark>32</mark>	<mark>45</mark>
2019-2020	<mark>227</mark>	<mark>807</mark>	<mark>27</mark>	33
2020-2021	<mark>238</mark>	<mark>633</mark>	<mark>34</mark>	<mark>21</mark>
<mark>2021-2022</mark>	<mark>231</mark>	<mark>616</mark>	<mark>44</mark>	33
<mark>2022-2023</mark>	<mark>185</mark>	<mark>572</mark>	<mark>46</mark>	<mark>41</mark>

FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS FOR THE COURT (EXCLUDING OMVH) SINCE 2017

	*CCs, RHs,	<u>Al-</u>		*CCs, RHs,	Al-	
	IJs, and &	Shabazz/		IJs, and &	Shabazz/	
FISCAL	other	<u>Furtick</u>	TOTAL	other	<u>Furtick</u>	TOTAL CASES
YEAR	appeals	Appeals	FILED	appeals	Appeals	DISPOSED
FY 16-17	492	791	1,283	534	776	1,310
FY 17-18	483	634	1,117	536	639	1,175
FY 18-19	445	683	1,128	458	634	1,092
FY 19-20	413	681	1,094	392	673	1,065
FY 20-21	430	495	929	357	523	880
FY 21-22	538	386	923	450	401	851
FY 22-23	407	437	844	352	336	688



AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Administrative Law Court		
AGENCY CODE:	C05	SECTION:	58

AGE OF DISPOSED CASES REPORT

OMVH

	Case Type	Total Disposed	Average Age at Disposal	% Meeting Objective
Agency	I. Contested Cases Objective = 90 Days	87	100	56
DNR	Hunting/Fishing Violations [ALC CC 90]	7	118	71
DOR	ABC Applications/Renewals [ALC CC 90]	27	75	67
LLR	Wage Disputes [ALC CC 90]			
LLR	OSHA Violations [ALC CC 90]	8	170	13
ANY	Injunctive Relief Hearings [ALC IJ 90]	30	107	63
ANY	Public Hearings for Proposed Regulations [ALC RH 90]	11	97	27
ANY	Subpoenas	3	59	67
ANY	Miscellaneous	1	82	100
Agency	II. Contested Cases Objective = 120 Days	23	87	74
DCA	Applications/Violations [ALC CC 120]	2	166	0
DNR	Coastal Fisheries Violations [ALC CC 120]	6	40	100
DOI	Insurance Agent Applications [ALC CC 120]	2	61	100
DOI	Insurance Rate Cases [ALC CC 120]			
DOR	ABC violations [ALC CC 120]	12	103	67
SLED	CWP/PI/Security License [ALC CC 120]	1	70	100
		35	187	66
Agency	III. Contested Cases Objective = 180 Days	+		-
ANY	Setoff Debt Collection [ALC CC 180]	12	102	100
ANY	Tourism Expenditure Review [ALC CC 180]			
DHEC	Health Licensing Cases [ALC CC 180]			100
DNR	Boating Under the Influence [ALC CC 180]	4	90	100
DOI	Insurance Agent Violations [ALC CC 180]			
DOR	Bingo Violations [ALC CC 180]	1	75	100
DOR	County Property Tax [ALC CC 180]	14	306	29
DOT	Outdoor Advertisements/DBE/Displacement			
PEBA	State Retirement Systems [ALC CC 180]	2	231	0
SOS	Charities [ALC CC 180]	2	64	100
Agency	IV. Contested Cases Objective = 300 Days	64	462	38
DHEC	Certificate of Need [ALC CC 300]	10	422	40
DHEC	Environmental Permitting [ALC CC 300]	6	316	50
DHEC	Ocean and Coastal Resource Management [ALC CC 300]	7	372	29
DOR	State Tax Cases [ALC CC 300]	41	509	37
Agency	V. Appeals Objective = 120	99	162	52
DEW	Employment and Workforce Appeals [Appeals from DEW]	99	162	52
Agency	VI. Appeals (all other non-inmate) Objective = 180	44	211	59
HHS	Medicaid and Provider Appeals [Appeals (all others) 180]	12	228	58
DOA	Employee Grievance Appeals [Appeals (all others) 180]			
Any	Charter School Appeals [Appeals (all others) 180]			
CJA	Criminal Justice Academy Appeals [Appeals (all others) 180]	4	237	25
		0	222	62

Administrative License Revocations/Ignition Interlock Appeals

233


63

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Administrative Law Court		
AGENCY CODE:	C05	SECTION:	58

LLR	Professional Licensing Board Appeals [Appeals (all others) 180]	8	127	75
DSS	Daycare/Fostercare Appeals, SNAP (FI) [DSS]	3	88	100
PEBA	PEBA Employee Insurance Program Appeals	8	294	38
Categor	y IV Case Types: Objective = 120 days	336	127	54
DOC	Inmate grievances [DOC & PPPS]	336	127	54
ALL CAS	E TYPES	688	167	54
ALL CASE TYPES excluding inmate grievances			205	54

NOTE: DOI: Dept. of Insurance; LLR: Dept. of Labor, Licensing and Regulation; DNR: Dept. of Natural Resources; DOR: Dept. of Revenue; DHEC: Dept. of Health and Environmental Control; HHS: Dept. of Health and Human Services; DSS: Dept. of Social Services; SLED: South Carolina Law Enforcement Division; DOC: Dept. of Corrections; PPPS, Dept. of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services; PEBA: Public Employee Benefit; OMVH: Office of Motor Vehicle Hearings; CA: Dept. of Consumer Affairs; DEW: Dept. of Employment and Workforce; CJA: Criminal Justice Academy; SOS: Secretary of State; DOA: Dept. of Administration; DOT: Dept. of Transportation


DISPOSITION PERCENTAGES CHARTS FOR THE COURT (EXCLUDING OMVH) SINCE 2017



C05

SECTION:

58



COMBINED COURT AND OMVH FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS

FISCAL			TOTAL			TOTAL CASES
YEAR	COURT	OMVH	CASES FILED	COURT	OMVH	DISPOSED
FY 15-16	1483	6385	7,868	1430	6568	7,998
FY 16-17	1283	6240	7,523	1310	6314	7,624
FY 17-18	1117	6089	7,206	1175	6309	7,426
FY 18-19	1128	6514	7,642	1092	6532	7,624
FY 19-20	1094	5942	7036	1065	5647	6,712
FY 20-21	929	5962	6,891	880	6236	7,116
FY 21-22	923	6298	7,220	851	5764	6,615
FY 22-23	844	6211	7,055	688	6121	6,809

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Administrative Law Court		
AGENCY CODE:	C05	SECTION:	58

OMVH CASE TYPE REPORT FOR CURRENT FY 2022-2023

Case Type #	Description	CASES FILED	CASES DISPOSED
01	Implied Consent or BAC	6018	4346
02	Habitual Offender 1 st Declared	34	27
03	Habitual Offender Reduction	29	20
04	Financial Responsibility	99	42
05	Dealer Licensing	6	3
06	Physical Disqualification	3	5
07	IFTA		
08	Self-Insured		
09	Driver Training School	2	0
10	IRP		
11	Miscellaneous	1	2
12	Points Suspension	3	2
13	HOR 2	4	2
14	IID (Ignition Interlock)	12	10
TOTAL		6211	6121

OMVH CASE TYPE REPORT FOR FY 2021-2022

Case Type #	Description	CASES FILED	CASES DISPOSED
01	Implied Consent or BAC	6122	5613
02	Habitual Offender 1st Declared	35	30
03	Habitual Offender Reduction	30	25
04	Financial Responsibility	72	60
05	Dealer Licensing	3	5
06	Physical Disqualification	7	7
07	IFTA	0	1
08	Self-Insured	0	0
09	Driver Training School	0	0
10	IRP	0	1
11	Miscellaneous	1	0
12	Points Suspension	5	3
13	HOR 2	4	3
14	IID (Ignition Interlock)	19	16
TOTAL		6298	5764

OMVH CASE TYPE REPORT FOR FY 2020-2021

Case Type #	Description	CASES FILED	CASES DISPOSED
01	Implied Consent or BAC	5824	6088
02	Habitual Offender 1 st Declared	22	19
03	Habitual Offender Reduction	37	31
04	Financial Responsibility	58	69
05	Dealer Licensing	2	1
06	Physical Disqualification	6	11
07	IFTA	1	2
08	Self-Insured	0	0
09	Driver Training School	0	0
10	IRP	1	0
11	Miscellaneous	1	0
12	Points Suspension	0	3
13	HOR 2	2	2
14	IID (Ignition Interlock)	8	10
TOTAL		5962	6236

OMVH CASE TYPE REPORT FOR FY 2019-2020


Case Type #	Description	CASES FILED	CASES DISPOSED
01	Implied Consent or BAC	5783	5459
02	Habitual Offender 1st Declared	24	38
03	Habitual Offender Reduction	37	40
04	Financial Responsibility	53	57
05	Dealer Licensing	2	3
06	Physical Disqualification	11	12
07	IFTA	1	3
08	Self-Insured		
09	Driver Training School		1
10	IRP	1	2
11	Miscellaneous		
12	Points Suspension	5	4
13	HOR 2	4	6
14	IID (Ignition Interlock)	21	22
TOTAL		5942	5647

AGENCY NAME: South Carolina Administrative Law Court
AGENCY CODE: SECTION: 58

OMVH CASE TYPE REPORT FOR FY 2018-2019


Case Type #	Description	CASES FILED	CASES DISPOSED
01	Implied Consent or BAC	6329	6326
02	Habitual Offender 1 st Declared	35	35
03	Habitual Offender Reduction	33	41
04	Financial Responsibility	67	78
05	Dealer Licensing	9	13
06	Physical Disqualification	12	11
07	IFTA	5	4
08	Self-Insured	0	0
09	Driver Training School	1	0
10	IRP	1	0
11	Miscellaneous	0	0
12	Points Suspension	6	6
13	HOR 2	1	5
14	IID (Ignition Interlock)	15	13
TOTAL		6514	6532

FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS FOR OMVH SINCE 2017



AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Administrative La	w Court	
AGENCY CODE:	C05	SECTION:	58

AGENCY ORGANIZATIONAL CHART



Reorganization and Compliance

as submitted for the Accountability Report by:

Primary Contact

First Name	Last Name	Role/Title	Role/Title Email Address								
Jana	Shealy	Clerk of Court		jshealy@scalc.net	734-6411						
~											
Secondary Cor First Name	Last Name	Role/Title		Email Address	Phone						
Danielle	Cox	Finance Director		dcox@scalc.net	803-734-0550						
Damene	COX	Thance Breetor		deoxio sedie.net	003 734 0330						
Agency Missio	n			Adopted in	1994						
related to the disput separate adjudicator of administrative ag continues efforts to The Office of Moto	te had to appear before hearing ory proceedings from agencies gencies. In fulfilling its functi improve those results.	g officers employed or control which conduct the investigation, the Court places a very was created in 2005 as an or	racted by that particu ative and policy-maki high value on its abil ffice within the ALC	dispute with a state agency and wa lar agency. However, the Adminis ing functions. The ALC also offers ity to be fair and neutral to all litiga- and its mission is to provide a neu- nt of Motor Vehicles, ensuring due	trative Law Court now provides a forum to enforce the decision ants that appear before it and arral forum for fair, prompt, and						
Agency Vision				Adopted in	2014						
The Courts vision, i	including OMVH, is to provid	le a technologically advance	ed court, easily access	sible by all customers and stakehole							
None	ions for reorganization		9	ts. or programs to allow th	e agency to operate mor						
None Agency intention		organization to divisi	9	ts, or programs to allow th	e agency to operate mor						
None Agency intenticeffectively and None	ons for other major re	organization to divisi eding fiscal year:	ons, department	ts, or programs to allow th	e agency to operate mor						
Agency intenticeffectively and None Significant eve	ons for other major red efficiently in the succe	organization to divisi eding fiscal year:	ons, department	ts, or programs to allow th Agency Measures Impacted							
Agency intentice of fectively and None Significant eve	ons for other major red efficiently in the succe ents related to the agen	organization to divisi reding fiscal year: cy that occurred in F	ons, department								
Agency intenticeffectively and None Significant evenomeasures. Is the agency in reports to the leading of the second of the sec	ents related to the agenceription of Event ats affected performance accompliance with S.C. Legislative Services Ag	organization to divisiveding fiscal year: cy that occurred in F Start Code Ann. § 2-1-220	ons, department Y2023 End	Agency Measures Impacted	Other Impacts						
Agency intenticeffectively and None Significant everomeasures. Is the agency in reports to the I Code Ann. § 60 Reason agency is compared to the	ents related to the agenceription of Event ats affected performance accompliance with S.C. Legislative Services Ag	organization to divisiveding fiscal year: cy that occurred in F Start Code Ann. § 2-1-220	ons, department Y2023 End	Agency Measures Impacted	Other Impacts						
Agency intentice of fectively and None Significant every personal support of the I Code Ann. § 60 Reason agency is capplicable) Is the agency into the Department of the Dep	ons for other major received efficiently in the succeivents related to the agendactive of Event ats affected performance with S.C. Legislative Services Ag 0-2-20). Out of compliance: (if an compliance with variance of Archives and H 180) and the South Car	cy that occurred in F Start Code Ann. § 2-1-220 ency for publication ous requirements to eistory? See the Publication	Y2023 End O, which require online and the Stransfer its recoic Records Act (Agency Measures Impacted	Other Impacts Yes Yes						
Agency intenticeffectively and None Significant every personant even measures. Is the agency in reports to the lagency is capplicable) Is the agency is capplicable) Is the agency is to the Department of the	ons for other major received efficiently in the succeivents related to the agendactive of Event ats affected performance with S.C. Legislative Services Ag 0-2-20). Out of compliance: (if an compliance with variance of Archives and H 180) and the South Car	organization to divisiveding fiscal year: cy that occurred in F Start Code Ann. § 2-1-220 ency for publication ous requirements to distory? See the Publication	Y2023 End O, which requires online and the Stransfer its records Act (conic Transaction)	Agency Measures Impacted s submission of certain state Library? (See also S.C. rds, including electronic of S.C. Code Ann. § 20-1-10	Other Impacts Yes Yes						
Agency intenticeffectively and None Significant every personal street agency in reports to the law all to the Departments of the Department of the Departme	ents related to the agenceription of Event ats affected performance accipitative Services Ag 0-2-20). aut of compliance with variation of Archives and H 180) and the South Car 1-10-210).	organization to divisiveding fiscal year: cy that occurred in F Start Code Ann. § 2-1-220 ency for publication ous requirements to distory? See the Publication	Y2023 End O, which requires online and the Stransfer its records Act (conic Transaction)	Agency Measures Impacted s submission of certain state Library? (See also S.C. rds, including electronic of S.C. Code Ann. § 20-1-10	Other Impacts Yes Yes						

Is the agency in compliance with S.C. Code Ann. §	{ 1-23-120 (J), which requires an agency to conduct a
formal review of its regulations every five years?	

Yes

(End of Reorganization and Compliance Section)

FY2023

Strategic Plan Results

is submitted for the Accountability Report by:

Perf. easure Number	Description Track Age of Disposed Cases	Base	Target	Actual	Value Type	Outcome	Time Applicable	Calculation Method	Data Source		Stakeholder Need Satisfied Government and Citizens	Primary Stakeholder	State Funded Program Number Responsible Notes
1.1.1	Percentage of all ALC cases disposed within internal timeframes	56%	100%	6 549	% Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of all cases disposed within internal timeframes	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program I
1.1.2	Percentage of Contested Cases and Regulations I disposed within internal timeframes (90 Days)	58%	100%	6 569	% Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases and Regulations I disposed within 90 days/ total # Contested Cases and Regulations I	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program I
1.1.3	Percentage of Contested Cases II disposed within internal timeframes (120 Days)	57%	100%	6 749	% Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases II disposed within 120 days/ total # of Contested Cases II	Date is maintained in ease management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program I
1.1.4	Percentage of Contested Cases III disposed within internal timeframes (180 Days)	78%	100%	669	% Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases III disposed within 180 days/ total # of Contested Cases III	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program I
1.1.5	Percentage of Contested Cases IV disposed within internal timeframes (300 Days)	16%	100%	6 38%	% Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases IV disposed within 300 days/ total # of Contested Cases IV	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program I
1.1.6	Percentage of Appeals V disposed within internal timeframes (120 Days)	63%	100%	6 52%	% Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Appeals VI disposed within 180 days/ total # of Appeals V	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program I
1.1.7	Percentage of Appeals VI disposed within internal timeframes (180 Days)	69%	100%	6 599	% Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Appeals V disposed within 120 days total # of Appeals VI	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program I
1.1.8	Percentage of Inmate Appeals VII disposed within internal timeframes (120 Days)	55%	100%	6 549	% Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Inmate Appeals VII disposed within 120 days/ total # of Inmate Appeals VII	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program I

Strategic Plan Development

FY2024

s submitted for the Accountability Report by:

Perf.					Desired					Stakeholder Need		State Funded Program Number Responsible	
Measure Number	Description Track Age of Disposed Cases	Base	Target	Value Type	Outcome	Time Applicable	Calculation Method	Data Source	Data Location	Satisfied	Primary Stakeholder	Responsible	Notes
1.1	Track Age of Disposed Cases								State Objective:	Government and Citizens			
1.1.1	Percentage of all ALC cases disposed within internal timeframes	54%	100%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of all cases disposed within internal timeframes	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program I	
1.1.2	Percentage of Contested Cases and Regulations I disposed within internal timeframes (90 Days)	56%	100%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases and Regulations I disposed within 90 days/ total # Contested Cases and Regulations I	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program I	
1.1.3	Percentage of Contested Cases II disposed within internal timeframes (120 Days)	74%	100%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases II disposed within 120 days/ total # of Contested Cases II	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program I	
1.1.4	Percentage of Contested Cases III disposed within internal timeframes (180 Days)	66%	100%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases III disposed within 180 days/ total # of Contested Cases III	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
								excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages					
1.1.5	Percentage of Contested Cases IV disposed within internal timeframes (300 Days)	38%	100%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases IV disposed within 300 days/ total # of Contested Cases IV	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
1.1.6	Percentage of Appeals V disposed within internal timeframes (120 Days)	52%	100%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Appeals VI disposed within 180 days/ total # of Appeals V	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	

Perf. Measure Number	Description	Base	Target	Value Type	Desired Outcome	Time Applicable	Calculation Method	Data Source	Data Location	Stakeholder Need Satisfied	Primary Stakeholder	State Funded Program Number Responsible	Notes
1.1.7	Percentage of Appeals VI disposed within internal timeframes (180 Days)	59%			greater than		# of Appeals V disposed within 120 days/ total # of Appeals VI	management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program I	
1.1.8	Percentage of Inmate Appeals VII disposed within internal timeframes (120 Days)	54%	100%		Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Inmate Appeals VII disposed within 120 days/ total # of Inmate Appeals VII	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	

Budget Data
as submitted for the Accountability Report by:
C050 - Administrative Law Court

	C050 - Administrative Law Court														
State Funded Program No.	State Funded Program Title	Description of State Funded Program		(Actual) General		(Actual) Other	(Actual) Federal		(Actual) Total		(Projected) General2		(Projected) Other	(Projected) Federal4	(Projected) Total
0100.000000.000	Administration		\$	3,001,865.29	\$	690,428.01		\$	3,692,293.30	\$	3,558,319.00	\$	1,403,627.00		\$ 4,961,946.00
9500.050000.000	State Employer Contributions		\$	1,206,289.70	\$	230,231.65		\$	1,436,521.35	\$	1,480,202.00	\$	252,359.00		\$ 1,732,561.00
9812.090000X000	PUBLIC AREA RENOVATIONS		\$	379,035.00				\$	379,035.00	\$	675,288.00				\$ 675,288.00
9818.080000X000	E-FILING MODULE		\$	119,060.50				\$	119,060.50	\$	845.00				\$ 845.00
9802.050000X000	STAFF ATTY/HEARING OFFICER/BUSINESS ASSOC EQUIP									\$	-				\$ -
9817.070000X000	TECH UPGRADE		\$	254,534.18				\$	254,534.18	\$	243,850.82				\$ 243,850.82

Legal Data as submitted for the Accountability Report by: C050 - Administrative Law Court

aw number	Jurisdiction	Type	Description	Purpose the law serves:	Notes:	Changes made during FY2023
44	State	Statute	Chronically underperforming schools and school districts	Requires a service		No Change
.85	State	Statute	Electronic Notaries Public	Requires a service		No Change
A90	State	Statute	Public Service Authority/ORS	Requires a service		No Change
Al-Shabazz v. State, etc.	State	Case Law	Administrative, non-collateral appeals from Dept. of Corrections and Dept. of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services	Requires a service	The Supreme Court issued the opinion that gives the ALC this jurisdiction. It does not fall under any of the categories provided under "Type."	No Change
Article I, Section 22	State	Constitution	Constitutional provisions regarding due process hearings	Requires a service		No Change
Chapter 61-113	State	Regulation	Dept. of Health and Environmental Control, Groundwater Use and Reporting	Requires a service		No Change
Chapter 61-57	State	Regulation	Dept. of Health and Environmental Control, Development of Subdivision Water Supply and Treatment/Disposal Systems			No Change
Chapter 63	State	Regulation	Contested Cases from the Dept. of Transportation	Requires a service		No Change
A8	State	Statute	Education Scholarship Trust Fund	Requires a service		Added
X75	State	Statute	Law Enforcement Death Benefits	Requires a service		Added
\$22	State	Statute	Structured Settlements	Requires a service		Added
.77	State	Statute	Athletic Trainers	Requires a service		Added

2022	Services Data
2023	as submitted for the Accountability Report by:
	C050 - Administrative Law Court

	023			C050 - Administ	rative Law Court			
Description of Service	Description of Direct Customer	Customer Name	Others Impacted by Service	Division or major organizational unit providing the service.		provided.	Changes made to services during FY2023	Summary of changes to services
Final Orders issued in all cases filed with the Court	Any person filing a request for a hearing with the ALC	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	SCALC Hearings		Due Process requires a final decision in all cases filed with the Court/OMVH	No Change	
Final Orders issued in all cases filed with the Court	Any person filing a request for a hearing with the ALC	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	SCALC Hearings	Contested case hearings, appeals, regulation hearings and injunctive relief hearings	Due Process requires a final decision in all cases filed with the Court/OMVH	No Change	
Final Orders issued in all cases filed with the Court	Any person filing a request for a hearing with the ALC	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	SCALC Hearings		Due Process requires a final decision in all cases filed with the Court/OMVH	No Change	
Final Orders issued in all cases filed with the Court	Legal community (attorneys)	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	SCALC Hearings	Contested case hearings, appeals, regulation hearings and injunctive relief hearings	Due Process requires a final decision in all cases filed with the Court/OMVH	No Change	
Final Orders issued in all cases filed with the OMVH	Any person filing a request for a hearing with the ALC	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	OMVH Hearings		Due Process requires a final decision in all cases filed with the Court/OMVH	No Change	
filed with the OMVH	Any person filing a request for a hearing with the OMVH	Agencies, Corporations	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	OMVH Hearings	suspensions	Due Process requires a final decision in all cases filed with the Court/OMVH	Ü	
Final Orders issued in all cases filed with the OMVH	Legal community (attorneys)	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	OMVH Hearings		Due Process requires a final decision in all cases filed with the Court/OMVH	No Change	

2023	Partnerships Data as submitted for the Accountability Report by: C050 - Administrative Law Court						
Type of Partner Entity	Name of Partner Entity	Description of Partnership	Change to the partnership during the past fiscal year				
State Government	All state agencies that appear before the Court or OMVH	Work with the agencies to provide information for citizens who are aggrieved by agency decisions, input on Rules of Procedure	No Change				
Professional Association	SC Bar	Provide speakers for continuing legal education seminars and assist in developing programs	No Change				
State Government	SCPRT	The ALC and SC PRT provide and assist each other with IT support as necessary	No Change				
Professional Association	South Carolina Administrative and Regulatory Law Association	Chief Judge and Clerk serve on the Board, work with partner to provide speakers and content for annual conference. Currently there is also an additional Administrative Law Judge serving on the Board	No Change				
State Government	University of South Carolina, School of Law	Provide internships for the summer JOE Program	No Change				

Reports Data

s submitted for the Accountability Report by

Report Name	Law Number (if applicable)	Summary of information requested in the report	Date of most recent submission DURING the	Reporting Frequency	Type of entity/entities	Method to access the report	Direct access hyperlink or agency contact (if not provided to LSA for posting online)	. Changes to this report during the past fiscal year	Explanation why a report wasn't submitted
Fees and Fines Report		Other revenue	September of 2023	Annually	South Carolina state agency or agencies	Available on agency's website		Amend	
GAAP Reports		GAAP Reports	August of 2023	Other	South Carolina state agency or agencies			Amend	
IT/IS Plans		Data collection and survey regarding IT plans and policies	July of 2023	Annually	South Carolina state agency or agencies			Amend	
Organizational Chart		Positions, titles and classification	September of 2023	Annually	South Carolina state agency or agencies	Available on agency's website	SC Administrative Law Court - Contact (scalc.net)	Amend	
Procurement		Type of procurement	July of 2023	Quarterly	South Carolina state agency or agencies			No Change	

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Administrative Law Court			
AGENCY CODE:	C050	SECTION:	058	

2023 Accountability Report

SUBMISSION FORM

I have reviewed and approved the data submitted by the agency in the following templates:

- Data Template
 - o Reorganization and Compliance
 - o FY2023 Strategic Plan Results
 - o FY2024 Strategic Plan Development
 - o Legal
 - o Services
 - o Partnerships
 - o Report or Review
 - o Budget
- Discussion Template
- Organizational Template

I have reviewed and approved the financial report summarizing the agency's budget and actual expenditures, as entered by the agency into the South Carolina Enterprise Information System.

The information submitted is complete and accurate to the extent of my knowledge.

AGENCY DIRECTOR (SIGN AND DATE):	SIGNATURE ON FILE	Signature Received: 9/14/2023 10:16 AM
(TYPE/PRINT NAME):	Ralph King Anderson III, Chief Judge	
Board/Cmsn Chair (Sign and Date):	N/A	
(TYPE/PRINT NAME):		